

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,958	05/31/2005	Soo-Yeon Shin	0630-2333PUS1	9931
2292 75	90 04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			WALBERG, TERESA J	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, ····		3753	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/536,958	SHIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Teresa J. Walberg	3753				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
. · · · ·	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachmen	• •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ir No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/31/05</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

1. The information disclosure statement filed 31 May 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein with respect to the foreign patent documents has not been considered.

- 2. Claim 8 of this application conflict with claim 11 of Application No. 10/536,956.

 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.
- 3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Art Unit: 3753

4. Claim 8 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 11 of copending Application No. 10/536,956. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Feature D2 is listed in the specification as being the height of the waves in para.0063, but as being the height of the flow path in para. 0064. The height of the wave would be the height of the flow path plus the thickness of the plate at the top of the wave.

In para. 0064 the formula is unclear, since D1 and D2 would both appear to be measurements of length and thus their units would cancel when D1 is divided by D2. However, the value of D1/D2 is listed as being greater than or equal to "10 mm" and as being less than or equal to "15 mm".

Clarification is needed as to the meaning of the formula.

Art Unit: 3753

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tran (5,228,515).

Tran discloses a heat exchanger (see abstract) including a plurality of heat exchanger plates (24A, 24B) laminated at a predetermined interval, first and second heat exchange portions positioned among the heat exchange plates and first and second heat exchange portions being composed of a plurality of heat exchange members having a predetermined length and an inner surface of one heat exchange member and an outer surface of the other heat exchange member are aligned to face each other, the heat exchanging members being formed in a plate type in which waves having a predetermined height are formed at a regular interval (embodiment of Fig. 8, note that the regular interval is considered to be the distance between wave crests), and the heat exchange plates including a plurality of embossing protrusions (embodiment of Fig. 4).

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3753

10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran (5,228,515).

Tran discloses a heat exchanger having the claimed structure with the exception of the length of the heat exchanging members being 3-5 mm. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use heat exchanging member of any desired length, based on the intended use of the device and based on the measurements of the other parts of the heat exchanger.

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shaw, Trumpler, Simpelaar, Hoffman, Kun, Cowell, Anderson, Parker, Dimitriou, Patel, Bertrand, Kull et al, and Wu et al are cited to show heat exchange structures.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa J. Walberg whose telephone number is 571-272-4790. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teresa J. Wallerg
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753

tjw